30 November-1 December 2020



### Introduction to the ED assessment strategy

Maria Arena

EFSA-Pesticide Peer Review Unit



Trusted science for safe food





### **Background of guidance**

**Endocrine disruptor criteria** 

**Guidance objectives and scope** 

**Assessment strategy – general lines** 

## **Background for guidance**



- ED criteria laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 2017/2100 for Biocidal Products (BPs) and Commission Regulation (EU) No 2018/605 for Plant Protection Products (PPPs)
- EFSA and ECHA were mandated to provide technical guidance on the implementation of the ED criteria applicable in the context of the BP and PPP Regulations

## **Scope of the Guidance**



- Provide technical guidance for the implementation of the ED criteria to applicants, risk assessors
- Covers endocrine modes of action caused by estrogen, androgen, thyroid and steroidogenic (EATS) modalities
  - However, available information on potential non-EATS endocrine disrupting modes of action also needs to be followed-up
- Focuses on ED effects in vertebrates; i.e. mammals, fish, amphibians

### Assessment strategy: general



- The guidance document, in order to establish whether the ED criteria are fulfilled, describes how:
  - ✓ To gather, evaluate and consider all relevant information for the assessment
  - ✓ To apply a weight of evidence (WoE) approach
  - ✓ To conduct a mode of action (MoA) analysis
- The guidance recommend to consider the data in an holistic approach but start the analysis on the mammalian data and draw a conclusion based on those before performing and/or requesting more data on other non-target organisms
- The guidance gives the possibility to identify a.s. for which an ED assessment is not needed.

### Assessment strategy: OECD GD 150



The assessment strategy is based on the OECD GD 150 which <u>lists the</u> <u>OECD TGs</u> and <u>help to the interpretation</u> of the results

The parameters relevant for ED identification are grouped in four groups: (Grouping based on OECD GD 150 & JRC screening methodology to identify potential EDs)





### Gather and evaluate all relevant information





# All relevant information must be considered:

- guideline studies
- other scientific data selected through systematic review (App F)
- ✤ To support the gathering of information → App E
- Evaluation of data quality e.g. relevance and reliability
- From reliable studies assign all parameters relevant for ED assessment to the groups:
- In vivo mechanistic
- In vitro mechanistic
- EATS-mediated
- Sensitive to, but not diagnostic of, EATS

# Assemble, assess and integrate the lines of evidence





Assemble all available data and integrate it into lines of evidence based on the grouping:

# >Lines of evidence for adversity from:

- `EATS mediated' parameters
- 'sensitive to, but not diagnostic of, EATS' parameters

### Lines of evidence for endocrine activity from:

- `in vitro mechanistic' parameters
- `in vivo mechanistic' parameters
- 'EATS mediated'parameters

## Initial analysis of the evidence





### Are 'EATS-mediated' parameters sufficient investigated?



### For humans & mammals

### **'EAS-mediated'** parameters

 foreseen to be investigated in a two generation reproductive toxicity study (OECD TG 416) measured

or

 foreseen to be investigated in an extended one generation reproductive toxicity study (OECD FTG 443; EOGRTS) measured

#### 'T-mediated' parameters

 foreseen to be investigated in the required standard studies for repeated dose toxicity, reproductive toxicity and carcinogenicity.

### For non-target organisms

### 'EAS-mediated' parameters

 foreseen to be measured in the Medaka extended onegeneration test (MEOGRT, OECD TG 240)

or

 a FLCTT covering all the 'EAS-mediated' parameters foreseen to be measured in the MEOGRT

### 'T-mediated' parameters

 foreseen to be investigated in the Larval amphibian growth and development assay (LAGDA; OECD TG 241)

### Testing strategy for the ED activity





### Is 'endocrine activity' sufficiently investigate



### For humans & mammals

- E-modality Output data from the ToxCast ER Bioactivity Model or 'Uterotrophic bioassay in rodents' (OECD test guideline 440).
- **A-modality** 'Hershberger bioassay in rats' (OECD test guideline 441).
- T-modality Thyroid parameters foreseen to be investigated the in required standard studies for repeated reproductive dose toxicity, carcinogenicity toxicity and for T-mediated (same as parameters).
- S-modality 'H295R steroidogenesis assay' (OECD TG 456) and the 'aromatase assay (human recombinant)' (OPPTS 890.1200) carried out.

### For non-target organisms

 E, A, S modalities - preferably the 'Fish short term reproduction assay' (FSTRA; OECD TG 229) should have been conducted

The 21-day fish assay OECD TG 230 is acceptable as well.

If data are already available covering the mechanistic parameters investigated in OECD TG 229 or OECD TG 230 (e.g. OECD TG 234), then those data could be used instead.

 T-modality - an 'Amphibian metamorphosis assay' (AMA; OECD TG 231) should have been conducted.

# Initial analysis of the evidence



#### Outcomes

- 1)Conclude 'ED criteria not met' IF:
  - 'EATS mediated' parameters sufficiently investigated and no EATS mediated adversity observed OR
  - Endocrine activity sufficiently investigated and no endocrine activity observed (and also no EATS mediated adversity)

### 2)Move to MoA analysis if:

- EATS mediated adversity observed
   OR
- Endocrine activity observed

#### 3)Generate information if:

No EATS mediated adversity and no endocrine activity observed but endocrine activity not sufficiently investigated



### **SCENARIOS**





### Mode of action analysis/Conclusion





### Stay connected





#### **Subscribe to**

efsa.europa.eu/en/news/newsletters efsa.europa.eu/en/rss



### Receive job alerts

careers.efsa.europa.eu – job alerts



#### Follow us on Twitter

@efsa\_eu
@plants\_efsa
@methods\_efsa
@animals\_efsa



#### Follow us Linked in Linkedin.com/company/efsa

<u>@</u>

#### Contact us

efsa.europa.eu/en/contact/askefsa